

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Prognostic and Diagnostic Value of Pancreatic Stone Protein (PSP) in Septic Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Patients

Eman Abdallah Mahmoud\* , Emad Farah Mohamed Kholef, Mahmoud Mohamed Gamal Eldeen Saleh

Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Aswan University

### ABSTRACT

**Keyword:** Procalcitonin; CRP; Pancreatic stone protein.

\* **Corresponding author:** Eman Abdallah Mahmoud.  
**Mobile:** 01157357555  
**E-mail:** 0115ema0115@gmail.com

**Background:** Sepsis is a condition caused by the dysregulation of the host response to infection, and known as life-threatening organ dysfunction. **Objectives:** To estimate of the pancreatic stone protein level in intensive care unit septic manifestation and prognostic and diagnostic value of pancreatic stone protein. **Subject and methods:** This prospective case control research has been performed at Aswan University Hospital. **Results:** Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between procalcitonin level and C- reactive protein (CRP) was 0.348, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between procalcitonin level and blood culture (positive) were 0.197, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between pancreatic stone protein level and blood culture (positive) were - 0.098, with a weak negative relationship between the two variables. **Conclusion:** Procalcitonin is a reliable predictor of mortality and a marker for sepsis, while pancreatic stone protein is limited in critically sick cases for diagnosing sepsis, but its performance is comparable to other biomarkers like CRP and PCT.

### INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a condition caused by the dysregulation of the host response to infection, and known as life-threatening organ dysfunction.<sup>[1]</sup>

This definition integrates the present knowledge of the pathophysiological processes of sepsis and assists in the identification of a subset of infected cases who are at an elevated probability for mortality because of organ damage.

The pathophysiology of sepsis is complex and is influenced by a combination of factors that are correlated to both the microorganism and the host's immune response. The most frequent sequence of events is a hyperinflammatory phase, a persistent inflammation phase, and, subsequently, a suppressive of immunity and catabolic phase. These phases are normally distinguished by a greater susceptibility to nosocomial infections, reactivation of latent viral infections, multiple organ dysfunction, cognitive decreases and long-term functional.<sup>[2]</sup>

Sepsis remains correlated with recorded death rates of approximately thirty percent, regardless of significant advancements in the treatment of septic shock, organ failures, and sepsis. However,

death rates may be significantly greater based on the healthcare facility's accessibility and geographical location.<sup>[3]</sup>

At present, the diagnosis of sepsis is primarily determined by nonspecific clinical signs, laboratory results, and medical scores, that are typically obtained following the onset of sepsis. No indicator was determined that has the ability to identify sepsis with an elevated level of diagnostic precision and in a timely manner, regardless of extensive study.<sup>[4]</sup>

C-reactive protein (CRP) is a well-defined inflammatory biomarker that is frequently utilized to assist in the diagnosis of infection.

In the past two decades, procalcitonin (PCT) was extensively investigated as an indicator for bacterial infection. Although C-reactive protein and procalcitonin are both frequently utilized in the context of sepsis diagnosis, both have demonstrated suboptimal efficacy.<sup>[5]</sup>

Pancreatic stone protein (PSP) is a C-type lectin protein that has been demonstrated to have proinflammatory activity in vitro and to activate polymorphonuclear cells.<sup>[6]</sup>

In an unselected cohort of severely sick adults, pancreatic stone protein was determined to be more effective than procalcitonin and other sepsis indicators in determining the precise presence of sepsis.<sup>[7]</sup>

The objective of this research was to estimate of the pancreatic stone protein concentration in ICU septic manifestation and prognostic and diagnostic value of pancreatic stone protein.

## SUBJECT AND METHODS

This prospective case control research has been performed at Aswan university hospital, study duration was 1 year, carried on 100 cases which has been classified divided into 2 groups: **Group A**: 50 cases with positive blood culture and **group B** (Control): 50 cases with negative blood culture.

**Inclusion criteria:** Intensive care unit (ICU) patients with, both sexes, fever, positive blood culture and patients with hospital acquired infection.

**Exclusion criteria:** cases with community acquired infection.

### Methods

**All cases have been exposed to** Complete history taking, complete physical investigation and laboratory investigation.

### Technique

Prepare all reagents, samples, and standards according to the manual's instructions, then add 100 microliters of standard or sample to each well. Incubated for 2.5 hours at room temperature or overnight at four degrees Celsius, then add one hundred microliters of the prepared biotin antibody to each well and incubated for one hour at room temperature. Add one hundred microliters of the prepared streptavidin solution into each well and incubate for forty-five minutes at room temperature. Add one hundred microliters of TMB one-step substrate reagent into each well and incubation for thirty minutes at room temperature. Subsequently, add fifty microliters of stop solution to each well and measure the absorbance at 450 nanometers immediately.

### Ethical consideration

The present investigation followed to all regulations set forth by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine at Aswan University. The case has been required to sign an informed consent document containing all required information regarding the research. Enrollment in the investigation was restricted to individuals who provided consent. Participants retained the entire right to withdraw from this investigation at any moment with no providing cause.

### Statistical Analysis

All data have been collected, tabulated and statistically examined utilizing SPSS 26.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Qualitative data have been presented by utilizing percent and number. Quantitative data have been presented by utilizing mean, standard deviation, range (minimum and maximum), and median. All statistical comparisons were two-tailed with significance. A  $p < 0.001$  represents a highly significant variance,  $P > 0.05$  represents an insignificant variance whereas P-value of  $\leq 0.05$  represents significance. The used tests were: **Chi-square (X<sup>2</sup>) test of significance** : has been utilized for comparing proportions among qualitative variables. **Independent T-test**: has been utilized for comparing among 2 independent groups with parametric quantitative data. **Pearson correlation coefficient**: utilized to determine the strength of an association is among 2 parameters. **Kruskal wallis test subsequently Dunnes post hoc analysis** for comparison between more than two groups

### RESULTS

This table show that age in cases group varied between 7 and 81 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $54.74 \pm 18.65$  whereas in control group the age varied between 18 and 85 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $64.36 \pm 15.7$  with statistically significant difference ( $p = 0.006$ ) among both groups. According to sex, statistically insignificant variance was observed among both studied groups ( $p = 1$ ). (Table 1)

This table show that regarding cause of sepsis, a significant variance was observed among both examined groups ( $p = 0.021$ ). Hospital stays before sepsis in cases group varied between 3 and 38 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $10.04 \pm 8.04$  while in control group the hospital stays before sepsis varied between 3 and 28 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $8.42 \pm 5.15$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p = 0.233$ ) among both groups. (Table 2)

This table show that procalcitonin in cases group varied between 0.02 to 101 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $13.02 \pm 27.64$  while in control group the procalcitonin varied between 0 to 96.4 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $4.29 \pm 14.11$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p\text{-value} = 0.051$ ) among both groups. Pancreatic stone protein in cases group ranged between 0.07 and 0.92 with mean  $\pm$  SD =  $0.21 \pm 0.17$  while in control group the pancreatic stone protein varied between 0.04 and 0.98 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $0.25 \pm 0.25$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p = 0.334$ ) among both groups. (Table 3)

This table demonstrated correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of the Pearson between procalcitonin level and CRP were 0.348, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables. The correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson between procalcitonin level and blood culture (positive) were 0.197, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables. (Table 4)

This table showed Pearson's correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) between pancreatic stone protein level and blood culture (Positive) were -0.098, with a weak negative relationship between the two variables. (Table 5)

Statistically significant variance is observed among four groups according to CRP and procalcitonin ( $p$  value  $< 0.05$ ) as level of CRP was the highest among cases surgical group (mean value 238) compared to other groups and mean value was at the lowest level among control medical group. As regard procalcitonin mean value was at the highest level among cases surgical group (mean value 16.2) compared to other groups and mean value was at the lowest level among control surgical group (mean = 0.52). While statistically insignificant variance is observed among four groups according to pancreatic stone protein ( $p\text{-value} > 0.05$ ) however the mean value was the highest among control surgical compared to other groups. (Table 6)

Statistically insignificant variance is observed among the four groups (case medical group, case surgical group, control medical group and control surgical group) regarding outcome (p value < 0.05), however the percentage of died cases was the highest among control surgical group (100% died) and the lowest among cases surgical group (45.5%). (Table 7)

**Table (1):** General characteristic among the examine groups.

	Cases group (number = fifty)	Control group (number = fifty)	Test of Sig.	p
Age			<b>t = -2.79</b>	<b>0.006</b>
Mean ± SD.	<b>54.74 ± 18.65</b>	<b>64.36 ± 15.7</b>		
Median (IQR)	<b>60 ( 40.25 - 70 )</b>	<b>66.5 ( 55.5 - 76.5 )</b>		
Range (Min-Max)	<b>74 ( 7 - 81 )</b>	<b>67 ( 18 - 85 )</b>		
Sex			<b>X2 = 0</b>	<b>1</b>
- Male	<b>32 ( 64% )</b>	<b>32 ( 64% )</b>		
- Female	<b>18 ( 36% )</b>	<b>18 ( 36% )</b>		

**t:** Independent T test

**IQR:** interquartile range

**SD:** standard deviation

**χ2:** Chi- Square test

**p:** p value for comparing between the studied groups

P-value < 0.05: Significant; P-value < 0.001: Highly significant; P-value > 0.05: Non-significant;

**Table (2):** Cause of sepsis and hospital stay before sepsis among the study groups.

	Cases group (number = fifty)	Control group (number = fifty)	Test of Sig.	p
Cause of sepsis			<b>X2 = 5.316</b>	<b>0.021</b>
- Medical	<b>39 ( 78% )</b>	<b>47 ( 94% )</b>		
- Surgical	<b>11 ( 22% )</b>	<b>3 ( 6% )</b>		
Hospital stay before sepsis			<b>t = 1.2</b>	<b>0.233</b>
Mean ± SD.	<b>10.04 ± 8.04</b>	<b>8.42 ± 5.15</b>		
Median (IQR)	<b>9 ( 3 - 12.75 )</b>	<b>7 ( 5 - 11 )</b>		
Range (Min-Max)	<b>35 ( 3 - 38 )</b>	<b>25 ( 3 - 28 )</b>		

**Table (3):** Procalcitonin and pancreatic stone protein levels among the study groups.

	Cases (number = fifty)	group	Control (number = fifty)	group	Test of Sig.	p
<b>Procalcitonin</b>					<b>t = 1.988</b>	<b>0.051</b>
Mean ± SD.	<b>13.02 ± 27.64</b>		<b>4.29 ± 14.11</b>			
Median (IQR)	<b>1.65 ( 0.45 - 8.18 )</b>		<b>0.5 ( 0.08 - 1.57 )</b>			
Range (Min-Max)	<b>100.98 ( 0.02 - 101 )</b>		<b>96.4 ( 0 - 96.4 )</b>			
<b>Pancreatic stone protein</b>					<b>t = -0.971</b>	<b>0.334</b>
Mean ± SD.	<b>0.21 ± 0.17</b>		<b>0.25 ± 0.25</b>			
Median (IQR)	<b>0.15 ( 0.12 - 0.2 )</b>		<b>0.17 ( 0.12 - 0.24 )</b>			
Range (Min-Max)	<b>0.85 ( 0.07 - 0.92 )</b>		<b>0.94 ( 0.04 - 0.98 )</b>			

**Table (4):** Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between Procalcitonin level and other variables.

	Procalcitonin level	
	Pearson's correlation coefficients (r)	P
<b>CRP</b>		
	<b>0.348</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>WBCs</b>		
	<b>0.085</b>	<b>0.403</b>
<b>Neutrophile (%)</b>		
	<b>0.128</b>	<b>0.204</b>
<b>Lymphocyte (%)</b>		
	<b>-0.095</b>	<b>0.348</b>
<b>Blood culture (Positive)</b>		
	<b>0.197</b>	<b>0.050</b>
<b>Mortality rate</b>		
	<b>-0.048</b>	<b>0.637</b>

**Table (5):** The correlation coefficients (r)of Pearson among pancreatic stone protein level and other variables.

	Pancreatic stone protein level	
	Pearson's correlation coefficients (r)	P
CRP		
	<b>0.128</b>	<b>0.204</b>
WBCs		
	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.974</b>
Neutrophile (%)		
	<b>0.119</b>	<b>0.236</b>
Lymphocyte (%)		
	<b>-0.125</b>	<b>0.214</b>
Blood culture (Positive)		
	<b>-0.098</b>	<b>0.334</b>
Mortality rate		
	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.910</b>

**Table (6):** Procalcitonin, pancreatic stone protein and CRP levels among the study groups.

	Cases (number = fifty) group		Control (number = fifty) group		P value
	Medical (n=39)	Surgical (n=11)	Medical (n=47)	Surgical (n=3)	
<b>Procalcitonin</b>					
Mean ± SD.	<b>12.8±27.1</b>	<b>16.2±30.3</b>	<b>4.1±14.4</b>	<b>0.52±0.55</b>	0.009*
Median (IQR)	<b>1.5(0.29:8.3)</b>	<b>3.4(1.3:12.7)</b>	<b>0.43(0.07:1.5)</b>	<b>0.37(0.06:0.37)</b>	
Range (Min-Max)	<b>0.2:101</b>	<b>0.56:101</b>	<b>0:96</b>	<b>0.06:1.15</b>	

<b>Post hoc analysis</b>	P1=0.12	P4=0.001*			
	P2=0.004*	P5=0.04*	P6=0.73		
	P3=0.17				
<b>Pancreatic stone protein</b>					
<b>Mean ± SD.</b>	<b>0.19±0.14</b>	<b>0.21±0.23</b>	<b>0.24±0.20</b>	<b>0.43±0.31</b>	<b>0.08</b>
<b>Median (IQR)</b>	<b>0.14(0.12:0.19)</b>	<b>0.13(0.10:0.19)</b>	<b>0.17(0.13:0.25)</b>	<b>0.27(0.23:0.27)</b>	
<b>Range (Min-Max)</b>	<b>0.04:0.86</b>	<b>0.09:0.92</b>	<b>0.04:0.98</b>	<b>0.24:0.80</b>	
<b>CRP</b>					
<b>Mean ± SD.</b>	<b>138.7±97</b>	<b>238.4±36.5</b>	<b>129±100</b>	<b>189±29,8</b>	<b>0.006*</b>
<b>Median (IQR)</b>	<b>110(72.2:248)</b>	<b>267(136:315)</b>	<b>100(49:223)</b>	<b>172(172:172)</b>	
<b>Range (Min-Max)</b>	<b>4.2:354</b>	<b>127:334</b>	<b>0.2:327</b>	<b>172:222</b>	
<b>Post hoc analysis</b>	P1=0.003*	P4=0.001*			
	P2=0.63	P5=0.59	P6=0.19		
	P3=0.26				

\* significant at p value <0.05,

P 1 = p value among cases medical group and case surgical group

P 2 = p value among cases medical group and control medical group

P 3 = p value among cases medical group and control surgical group

P 4 = p value among cases surgical group and control medical group

P 5 = p value among cases surgical group and control surgical group

P 6 = p value among control medical group and control surgical group,

test used is Kruskal Wallis followed by post hoc analysis to differentiate between subgroups.

**Table (7):** Comparison between different study groups regarding outcome.

	Cases group (number = fifty )		Control group (number = fifty)		P value
	Medical (n=39)	Surgical (n=11)	Medical (n=47)	Surgical (n=3)	
<b>Outcome</b>					
<b>Died</b>	<b>27(69.2%)</b>	<b>5(45.5%)</b>	<b>26(55.3%)</b>	<b>3(100%)</b>	<b>0.18</b>
<b>Survived</b>	<b>12(30.8%)</b>	<b>6(54.5%)</b>	<b>21(44.7%)</b>	<b>0</b>	

## DISCUSSION

Our study shows that in the Cases group, the age varied between 7 to 81. with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $54.74 \pm 18.65$  whereas in control group the age varied between 18 to 85 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $64.36 \pm 15.7$  with statistically significant difference ( $p = 0.006$ ) among both groups. According to Sex, statistically insignificant variance was observed among both studied groups ( $p = 1$ ).

Our results were consistent with **García de Guadiana-Romualdo et al.** <sup>[8]</sup> that stated that mean age in cases group was  $66 \pm 36$  while in control group the mean age was  $73 \pm 27$  with statistically significant variance ( $p$ -value =  $0.028$ ) among cases with sepsis from other noninfectious causes of SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome). Regarding Sex, statistically insignificant variance was observed among both studied groups ( $p$ -value =  $0.798$ ).

Our study demonstrated that cause of sepsis and hospital stay before sepsis among the study groups. Regarding cause of sepsis, a significant variance was observed among both studied groups ( $p$ -value =  $0.021$ ). Hospital stays before sepsis in Cases group varied between 3 and 38 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $10.04 \pm 8.04$  while in Control group the Hospital stay before sepsis ranged from 3 to 28 with mean  $\pm$  SD =  $8.42 \pm 5.15$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p$ -value =  $0.233$ ) among both groups.

In contrast with our results, **Pugin et al.** <sup>[9]</sup> who found that regarding hospital stays, statistically significant rise was observed in hospital stay days in septic ICU patients comparing with non-septic ICU patients.  $P < 0.0012$ .

Also, in contrast with our results, **Parlato et al.** <sup>[10]</sup> found that the ICU hospital stay for sepsis patients were longer than non-septic patients

Our study showed Procalcitonin and pancreatic stone protein levels among the study groups. Procalcitonin in Cases group varied between 0.02 and 101 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $13.02 \pm 27.64$  whereas within Control group the Procalcitonin varied between 0 to 96.4 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $4.29 \pm 14.11$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p$ -value =  $0.051$ ) among both groups. Pancreatic stone protein in Cases group varied between 0.07 to 0.92 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $0.21 \pm 0.17$  whereas within Control group the Pancreatic stone protein varied between 0.04 to 0.98 with mean  $\pm$  standard deviations =  $0.25 \pm 0.25$  with statistically insignificant variance ( $p = 0.334$ ) among both groups.

In contrast with our results, **García de Guadiana-Romualdo et al.** <sup>[8]</sup> who observed which concentrations of PCT, and PSP were significantly greater in cases with sepsis compared to within no infected patients.

As well, **Michailides et al.** <sup>[11]</sup> who stated which a significant variance was observed in the median pancreatic stone protein on admission for cases with sepsis and patients without sepsis ( $p = 0.037$ ).

Our research showed correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson among Procalcitonin level and other variables. correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson among Procalcitonin level and CRP were 0.348, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables. correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson among Procalcitonin level and Blood culture (Positive) were 0.197, with a strong positive relationship between the two variables.

Our results were consistent with **Bakhshiani et al.** <sup>[12]</sup> who reported which a significant positive association among the serum Procalcitonin concentrations and CRP within the septic patients ( $r = 0.515$ ,  $P = 0.049$ ). Nevertheless, no correlation detected among Procalcitonin level and Leukocytic count ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Our study showed correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson among pancreatic stone protein concentrations and other variables. correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) of Pearson among Pancreatic stone



protein concentrations and Blood culture (Positive) were -0.098, with a weak negative relationship between the two variables.

Also, our outcomes were line with **Michailides et al.** <sup>[11]</sup> who reported which they didn't find a significant association among pancreatic stone protein and any other of the frequently utilized well-known indicators of inflammation (CRP, Ferritin, LDH, Fibrinogen).

In contrast with our outcomes, **Scherr et al.** <sup>[13]</sup> who found which PSP level showed weak correlation with CRP (r 5 0.15, P 5 .04), and PCT (r 5 0.34, P, .01).

Our study shows that there is statistically significant difference between four groups regarding CRP and procalcitonin (p value < 0.05) as level of CRP was the highest among cases surgical group (mean value 238) compared to other groups and mean value was at the lowest level among control medical group. As regard procalcitonin mean value was at the highest level among cases surgical group (mean value 16.2) compared to other groups and mean value was at the lowest level among control surgical group (mean = 0.52). While there is statistically insignificant variance among four groups according to pancreatic stone protein (p value > 0.05) however the mean value was the highest among control surgical compared to other groups.

Our results were consistent with **Clec'h et al.** <sup>[14]</sup> who reported that as regard procalcitonin mean value was at the highest level among cases surgical group (mean value 16.2) compared to cases medical group.

Our research shows which statistically insignificant variance among the four groups (case medical group, case surgical group, control medical group and control surgical group) regarding outcome (p value < 0.05), however the percentage of died cases was the highest among control surgical group (100% died) and the lowest among cases surgical group (45.5%).

Our results were consistent with **Clec'h et al.** <sup>[14]</sup> who stated which statistically insignificant variance was observed among case medical group, and case surgical group regarding outcome (p value < 0.05).

## CONCLUSION

Procalcitonin is a good predictor of mortality, Procalcitonin and CRP is a good marker for sepsis. Pancreatic stone protein is possibly inadequate in critically ill patients for diagnosing of sepsis. PSP performance in the diagnosis of sepsis is, at least, comparable to other indicators (CRP, and PCT)

## RECOMMENDATION

Additional research with larger scales is required to verify our findings.

## REFERENCES

1. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, Coopersmith CM, Hotchkiss RS. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *Jama*. 2016 Feb 23;315(8):801-10.
2. Eggimann P, Que YA, Rebeaud F. Measurement of pancreatic stone protein in the identification and management of sepsis. *Biomarkers in medicine*. 2019 Feb 1;13(2):135-45.
3. Vincent JL, Marshall JC, Namendys-Silva SA, François B, Martin-Loeches I, Lipman J, Reinhart K, Antonelli M, Pickkers P, Njimi H, Jimenez E. Assessment of the worldwide burden of critical illness: the intensive care over nations (ICON) audit. *The lancet Respiratory medicine*. 2014 May 1;2(5):380-6.

4. Rhee C, Kadri SS, Danner RL, Suffredini AF, Massaro AF, Kitch BT, Lee G, Klompas M. Diagnosing sepsis is subjective and highly variable: a survey of intensivists using case vignettes. *Critical care*. 2016 Dec; 20:1-8.
5. Vijayan AL, Vanimaya N, Ravindran S, Saikant R, Lakshmi S, Kartik R. Procalcitonin: a promising diagnostic marker for sepsis and antibiotic therapy. *Journal of intensive care*. 2017 Dec; 5:1-7.
6. Pugin J, Daix T, Pagani JL, Morri D, Giacomucci A, Dequin PF, Guitton C, Que YA, Zani G, Brealey D, Lepape A. Serial measurement of pancreatic stone protein for the early detection of sepsis in intensive care unit patients: a prospective multicentric study. *Critical care*. 2021 Dec; 25:1-9.
7. Llewelyn MJ, Berger M, Gregory M, Ramaiah R, Taylor AL, Curdt I, Lajaunias F, Graf R, Blincko SJ, Drage S, Cohen J. Sepsis biomarkers in unselected patients on admission to intensive or high-dependency care. *Critical care*. 2013 Apr; 17:1-1.
8. García de Gadiana-Romualdo L, Berger M, Jiménez-Santos E, Rebollo-Acebes S, Jiménez-Sánchez R, Esteban-Torrella P, Hernando-Holgado A, Ortín-Freire A, Albaladejo-Otón MD. Pancreatic stone protein and soluble CD25 for infection and sepsis in an emergency department. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2017 Apr;47(4):297-304.
9. Pugin J, Daix T, Pagani JL, Morri D, Giacomucci A, Dequin PF, Guitton C, Que YA, Zani G, Brealey D, Lepape A. Serial measurement of pancreatic stone protein for the early detection of sepsis in intensive care unit patients: a prospective multicentric study. *Critical care*. 2021 Dec; 25:1-9.
10. Parlato M, Philippart F, Rouquette A, Moucadel V, Puchois V, Blein S, Bedos JP, Diehl JL, Hamzaoui O, Annane D, Journois D. Circulating biomarkers may be unable to detect infection at the early phase of sepsis in ICU patients: the CAPTAIN prospective multicenter cohort study. *Intensive care medicine*. 2018 Jul;44:1061-70.
11. Michailides C, Lagadinou M, Paraskevas T, Papantoniou K, Kavvousanos M, Vasileiou A, Thomopoulos K, Velissaris D, Marangos M. The Role of the Pancreatic Stone Protein in Predicting Intra-Abdominal Infection-Related Complications: A Prospective Observational Single-Center Cohort Study. *Microorganisms*. 2023 Oct 17;11(10):2579.
12. Bakhshiani Z, Fouladi S, Mohammadzadeh S, Eskandari N. Correlation of sPD1 with procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels in patients with sepsis. *Cell Journal (Yakhteh)*. 2021 Apr;23(1):14.
13. Scherr A, Graf R, Bain M, Christ-Crain M, Müller B, Tamm M, Stolz D. Pancreatic stone protein predicts positive sputum bacteriology in exacerbations of COPD. *Chest*. 2013 Feb 1;143(2):379-87.
14. Clec'h C, Fosse JP, Karoubi P, Vincent F, Chouahi I, Hamza L, Cupa M, Cohen Y. Differential diagnostic value of procalcitonin in surgical and medical patients with septic shock. *Critical care medicine*. 2006 Jan 1;34(1):102-7